Treasury

App. F. IX-4

1927-28

File No. 820-27

Previous References (Inwd)

Serial Nos. 1—.

Later References

Mag 6-29—11-13

Mag 130-4/6 Inwd

" 285-52-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for April '28

SUBJECT

Sale of the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Kasivisveswara & 3 others temple in Tippasandra, Magadi Taluk

---

No 1847 dated 16 4 28

To the Inwd. Comr.

The lease will be cancelled and the amount deposited by the auction purchaser refunded to him. The Archak shown required to pay Rs 110 annually

(mm

NOTES

File No: 120-27

FILE HEADING.

Sale of the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Kasi-visveswara & 3 other temples in Tiptasandra Nagadi Taluk

From

Nanjappa Deekshit - appellant

Appl. Petn Dated 7.11.27 Received

Diary No. 590	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the order of the Mys. Court directing the sale by auction of the lease of the inam lands endowed to the above temples and also re his right to archakship of the temples

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) Members order on the petn.

Records may be called for from M. C.

Order re auction of lease may be stayed as it is stated that the petr has paid the annual sums according to the

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mis File No. 120 of 1927-28

Serial No.

Malabalika (vide para 3 of the petn)

3. After records are read, case may be posted for hearing". (Sd) M.N.R. 10/11/27

V

Draft letter to M.C. & Draft endt. are subd

Sd 11/11 11-11-27

3 Issue MR 12/11

2 To.

Mur Collr No 754 dt 12.11.27

calls for report with records on the above with the request that further proceedings may be stayed pending disposal of the present petn

4) 3. From, Gundappa Dharmadarsi Convenor, Petition dt 13.12.27

makes further representation in the matter.

The petition was presented to the member and has been received with the following order. Have we issued a stay order? Petr suggests that the harvest which is ready may be kept in amanath pending disposal of the appeal"

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No 120 of 1927

Serial No.

5
On the appeal petition presented by the Archak, a Stay order has been issued.

6 Orders solicited on the present petn's prayer. The M.C.S report is awaited. Submitted

AV 13.12.27

18/12/27

7
There seems to be no need to keep the crop in "Amanath". The Pujari has been taking the crop for several years now and there can be no harm in his taking it this year. Final orders may be passed on receipt of the records for which the Hon Court will be reminded

MV 18/12

8 Second Member

9 I agree

29.12.

10 Pr please remind me

MV 29/12

11 Dft. Reminder Submitted

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 120 of 1927

Serial No.	
4	From, the Asst. Comr Letter no. D. Dis 59 dt 4/28 Submits the report with records called for in Sl. 2.
13	The M. C's order explains the facts of the case- Mat order directs that in the interests of the temple, its lands should be leased out, and that the applts. claim to the Archak-Ship be investigated and decided. etc.
14	Against this order, Ramyappa Deekshitu has appealed to Govt.
15	He prays that the inam lands may be left in his possession subject to the payment of a Reserve fund, and that he may be confirmed in the Archa office-
appeal petition	Member's order on the — After records are received, case may be posted for hearing.
16	Order passed on the

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 1 ee of 192 7

Serial No.

date to which this case may be posted.

Sriniv. 17

M 15/2/28 2nd Member 16/2

15/2/28

18 6 M March 12 Noon

March

17.2.28

M

~~Intimation sent to Respondents~~

Q.C. Respondents Counsel Mr C Mayappa wants a short adjournment to which the Case — his client having Engaged him only Yesterday — and the Appellants Counsel is agreeable to the postponement. Case will be heard on 17th inst at 12 Noon Both Counsel informed of this date and no fresh notices need be issued.

mcp

6-3-28

M

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No. 120 of 1927.

Serial No.

Case was heard on 31st March 1928.

The Appellant was represented by Mr.A.H. Nagesvara Iyer, and the Respondent by Mr.C. Nagappa.

O r d e r.

The records ~~of enquiry into the case~~ show that there is considerable difference of opinion as to whether the appellant has properly performed the duties of the archak of these temples, one Set ~~side~~ of ~~the~~ petitioners speaking strongly in his favour and another against him. This position is obviously the result of the prevalence of factitious spirit in the village which has prevented the real facts of the case being brought out. This is a minor Muzrai institution in the enjoyment of an income ~~inam~~ of about Rs 600/- per annum from its inam lands, as shown by the bid received when it was proposed to lease them out. There are four temples — reported to be situated more or less close to each other — which require to be taken care of and in which worship has to be performed and Paditara, etc., provided. It is stated that some of the temples are in a state of disrepair and a sum of at least Rs 100/- out of the annual income should be held as a reserve for

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No.

for keeping the buildings in proper condition. The balance left after meeting these demands is not more than what may be regarded as a reasonable remuneration for the Archak of the four temples. In view of these considerations, it is not expedient to disturb the ~~position~~ possession of the Archak. The order of the Muzrai Commissioner will be modified accordingly. The lease will be cancelled and the amount deposited by the auction-purchaser refunded to him with interest at 9 per cent. per annum. If he has not had any benefit from the lands the amt deposited by him to be contributed, will be paid by ~~which will be paid~~ by the Archak. The Archak should also be required to pay Rs 100/- annually as a reserve for repairs and for occasional demands to be provided for to keep the temples in proper condition.

Muz
31.3.28
K

25) Draft amended
W
2/4

26 DO Submit
W
9.4.28.

27 Issue
W
11/4

5 Memo No 847 & 1644.28 to M.C.
Passes final order in the matter





Records may be called for.
2. Issue order re auctioning lease may be stayed as the petitioner has stated that the petitioner has filed the appeal before the Muzrai Commissioner as to the Muzrai Appeal of 27-28 para 3 of petition
3. After records are received case may be posted for hearing

Before the Government of H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Muzrai Appeal of 27 - 28.

Appellant:-

Nanjappa Dookshit, Archaka,
Tippasandra, Magadi Taluk.

The appellant begs to appeal to this Honourable Court against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, in appeal No. 8 of 26 - 27 for the following among other reasons:-

1. The appellant is the son in law of one Nanjappa who was the Baravardar Archak of four Temples in Tippasandra Magadi Taluk, Viz., The Kasi Visvesvara, Gangadharesvara, Mahalingesvara and Ganapathi Temples. The said Nanjappa died about 19 years ago, leaving behind him his wife and an only daughter. After Nanjappa's death, one Chennappa brother of Nanjappa's wife officated as Archak for some time. Thereafter another person also acted as Archak for a short period. But, ever since 1911, the petitioner has been holding the office of Archak of the abovenamed Temples and has been discharging

the duties of his office to the satisfaction of all the devotees and the village people.

2. The wife of the said Nanjappa died about seven years ago, and his daughter, the appellant's wife is now the sole heir to the Archak office of the four Temples. The appellant is therefore entitled to hold the office of Archak.

3. The Temples have Inam lands endowed by Government. The Shanbhogue of the village ~~and~~ had wrongfully entered into occupation of the Inam lands and was enjoying its produce, giving only a portion to the Archak. The Archak filed petitions before the Amildar and got possession of the lands through the Revenue Department. In view of this step taken by the appellant, Shanbhogue Gundappa bears a particular grudge against the appeallant; and with the active assistance of the Patel and a few other people he has been repeatedly filing applications, making false and malicious allegations against the appellant, with a view to deprive the appellant of the office and get his own nominee appointed to the place. In the year 1924, the then DeputyCommissioner the late Mr. Venkoba Rao after giving due consideration ordered that the appellant should enjoy the Inam Lands on condition that he should deposit Rs. 50/- per year to form a reserve fund. In accordance with the arrangement sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner, the appellant has deposited two instalments of Rs. 50/- each in the Treasury. The appellant has also paid Rs. 40/- as his contribution towards the tank repairs.

4. The Shanbhogue who was disappointed at this arrangement/ again renewed his machinations against the poor appellant. The persistent agitation of the Shanbhogue with the help of a very small number of partisans was after all successful and on their one-sided representations, the Deputy Commissioner ordered that the Inam Lands should be leased to others and that the present appellant should be deprived of the office. The appellant was given no notice of the proceedings and he was not heard in the matter. When this fact became known to the appellant, he petitioned to the Deputy Commissioner that the action taken by himwas opposed to lawand and equity and that the sale might of the cultivating right of the lands should be postponed until the disposal of his application, as the petitioner would sustain irreparable loss, in view of the fact that the crops now standing on the lands are fit for harvesting. The then Deputy Commissioner granted a stay order; but the said Shanbhogue in collusion with others so managed as to prevent the stay order reaching the sale conducting Officer in time with the result that the stay order was not given effect to at all. His successor without hearing the petitioner rejected the petition. On appeal, the Learned Revenue Commissioner confirmed the order directing the lease of the property and set aside the other portion of the order. The order passed by the Deputy Commissioner without any enquiry and confirmed by the Revenue Commissioner has considerably prejudiced the appellant.

5. The Learned Muzrai Commissioner has erred in drawing the inference that because the ~~lease-deed~~ tasdik has not been transferred to the name of the appellant it indicates that he is not a regular Barawardar in the strict sense of the word, but only a Gumasta. Further he has not correctly appreciated the petitions of the Dharmadarsis and the devotees.

6. The Dharmadarsis of the Temples held a meeting on the 17th September 1926 to consider the action taken by the Muzrai Officers. The meeting was attended by three out of five Dharmadarsis, the other two namely, the Sharbhogue and the Patel, who are inimically disposed to the appellant, being absent. The Dharmadarsis after due deliberation have sent up recommendations to the Deputy Commissioner that the present Archak, who has been discharging his duties satisfactorily should be confirmed in office and that the arrangement sanctioned by the late Mr. Venkoba Rao in respect of the lands should not also be disturbed. They have further indicated that the step now taken by the Deputy Commissioner was quite unjust and inequitable. Some of the prominent residents of the village also submitted a memorial to the Revenue Commissioner pointing out the unjustness of the steps now taken by Government. Although a copy of the proceedings of the meeting of the Dharmadarsis was sent to the Deputy Commissioner, he did not give any consideration to the opinion expressed by them after due deliberation. It was perhaps not brought to his notice at all. Three out of the five Dharmadarsis and an overwhelming majority of the devotees fully

support the appellant's continuance in office as he is conducting the worship very satisfactorily and has given satisfaction to all except the Shanbhogue who bears a grudge against the appellant. These facts have not been taken into consideration by the lower courts. Till the Shanbhogue was dispossessed of the lands, he was in favour of appellant continuance in office and even on 9th July 1916 he has made a statement before the Revenue Officer that appellant was working very satisfactorily.

7. The Learned Revenue Commissioner has erred in proceeding on the basis that the appellant was trying to back out of the arrangement. That is not correct. He prayed for some concessions, but when that was refused he deposited the full amount of Rs. 50/- with Government. The Learned Revenue Commissioner has misconstrued the whole matter.

8. The appellant prays that in view of the circumstances narrated above, he may kindly be confirmed in the Archak office and the arrangement now ordered regarding the leasing of the lands may kindly be set aside and the previous order granting the lands to appellant on his paying Rs. 50/- may kindly be given effect to.

9. The ryots have grown crops on the lands and portions of them are now fit for reaping. The appellant has advanced large sums towards the cost of cultivation. If the lands are now sold, the appellant

will sustain irreparable loss.

The appellant therefore prays that this Honourable Court may be pleased to stay further proceedings, pending disposal of this appeal.

ಅಪೀಲಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ವಿಷಯ

T S Ramaswamy Iyer
advocate for appt

Bangalore,
7th Nov 27.

Order of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

Subject —

Appeal (No 8 of 2[illegible]) dated 3rd Jan 1927 of Nanjappa-Dikshit of Tippasandra, Magadi Taluk against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District Confirming Sale of [illegible] of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Kasivisveswara, Gangavisveswara and other two temples at Tippasandra

Appellant Nanjappa Dikshit - Archak of the above temples

Respondents - Shanbhoge Gundappa Convenor and certain other Dharmadarsis of the temple

Facts of the Case

The Marginally noted* four inams which are clubbed together and [illegible] as a minor institution are endowed with dry and wet Inam lands of an extent of 2A-22 Guntas the assessment of good being Rs 63-8-0 and Rs 35-9-6 Respectively

In March 1926 one Krishnappa Retired School master Submitted a petition to Government Complaining that the appellant Archak was not regularly Conducting Worship in the temples, that he was misappropriating the realisations from the Inam land and that the temple of Ganapathy was in Disrepair and requesting that the Inam land may be leased out and a Cash remuneration for the Archak since the [illegible] of leaving the land in the Archak possession on payment of a reserve of Rs 50 was not [illegible] Satisfactorily. The Inam [illegible]



to the Deputy Commissioner he called report thereon. In May 1926 there was a similar petition signed by the Convenor of certain Dharmadarsis of the temple as well others of the village. The entire records containing the various complaints against the appellant and the results of enquiry thereon were submitted by the Sub-Division office with his no 1155 dated 15-6-26. After perusal of the records the Deputy Commissioner order on 21-7-26 that as there were frequent complaints by the Dharmadarsis and others that the acting Archak was not doing puja regularly and as the Inam lands would yield Rs 500/- a year if leased out they may accordingly be leased out and the Sale fixed and submitted for sanction and that the acting Archak be removed and two Archaks out of the hakdars to the pujaris hip may be appointed for the four temples so that two temples may have an Archak The appellant approached the Deputy Commissioner with a petition on 22-9-26 praying that for a stay of the Sale of the lease this was sent to the Sub-Division-Officer for report with records and the Sale ordered to be held in Abeyance the Stay order not having reached the taluk the lease was sold by auction on 25-8-26 for a sum of Rs 575/- per annum in addition to payment of jodi and the records submitted to the deputy Commissioner by the Sub-division-Office with the Amildars report ~~recommending~~ recommending confirmation of the Sale and urging the

his conduct was not Satisfactory. The Sale was not Confirmed by the Deputy Commissioner in his order Dated 11th December 1926 Wherein it was again observed that from all accounts the Archak did not Seem to be Conducting the Service properly and that the matter Should be enquired into by the Sub Division officer and necessary further action taken The present appeal is against this order The appellant with his Counsel and the respondent Shanbhog Gundappa Convener and Patel Kempa Lakkiah, Dharmadarsi with his Counsel were present and heard Dharmadarsi M. Gundappa was also present

Order No D1 Dis 59/27-28
Dated Bangalore 24th Sept 1927.

Mr Nagesa Iyer for the appellant
Mr C Nagappa for the respondent (Dharmadarsi)

The appellant is said to be the Son-in-law of the last office holder by Name Nanjappa who died in the year 1911. There is a Cash Grant of annas 0-11-0 which was being paid to Nanjappa The Jasdik has not been transferred to the name of the appellant which indicates that he is not a regular Baravandar in the Strict Sense of the word He however occupies the endowed Inam lands and was looking after the Archakship of the four institutions

There were Some Complaints that he was not discharging his duties

and neglecting the temple
deteriorate. In 1918 after some enquiries
were held the appellant tendered a muchalika
binding himself to do his work properly
and asking to be excused for past omissions
Here upon the Deputy Commissioner
(Mr B. Venkoba Rao) passed an order that
the lands might continue to be enjoyed
by the appellant provided he agreed to
pay a 'Migata' of Rs 50/- per annum

The appellant very soon back
out of his promise and complained to
the Sub-Division officer that the Migata
of Rs. 50/- might be reduced to Rs 25/- The
Amildar at once apprehended that the
appellant was the sort of person who
would not keep to his word and
recommended that the right of cultivation
might be sold The Sub-Division officer
sanctioned the proposal of the Amildar
I have no doubt to observe that Conflicting
reports are made by the Dharmadarsis
about the appellant Sometimes the
Dharmadarsis have changed sides
and condemned and applauded the
Archak as suited their interest The
result is that no reliance can be
had on the petitions both for and
against the appellant

Considering all aspects of
the case am of opinion that it would
be to the advantage of the institution
to dispose of the right of cultivation
of the endowment lands in open auction
and out of the realisations fix a

Scale with the remuneration [illegible]
to the Archak for his services. I am also
of opinion that a searching inquiry should
be made about the allegations against
the Archak (appellant) and definite
orders passed whether the allegations
true or false and it should also be
whether the appellant is ~~only~~ a hakdar
or only a Gumasta and the Baraw...
the institutions should be made in the
name of the proper candidate. It is
creditable that the Baraward question
should be pending for nearly 15 years
after the death of the last Pujari.

The appellant will continue
in office and be paid remuneration for
his services in cash until it is proved
that he is not the hakdar or that he
has not been doing his work satisfactorily.
Appeal allowed partly.

Sd) A.K. Syed Taj Peeran
Murrai Commissioner

Copy application on 3-9-27
copy ready 24-9-27
Copy delivered 24-9-27
No of words - 1491
1200
Copying fee 1-80
Comparing fees 0-6-0
Compared by Abdul Rahman



In the Court of Govt of Mysore

No. **OF 192 -192 .**

Ramaprasad Chetty, Archaka, Tippasandra Village Chagalahalli Taluk — *Petr* Appellant

Vs.

I the above named do hereby nominate and appoint MR. A. R. NAGESVARA IYER, B A., B L. ADVOCATE in the above suit and do hereby empower the said ADVOCATE to appear for and on my behalf in the above suit, to take all steps which may be necessary on my behalf arising out of or in connection with the above suit either during progress or after its decision, to sign and subscribe their names to any document which they may deem proper to execute any decree that may be passed in my favour and to receive out of the Court any refund of money which may be lodged or become payable or receivable on my behalf and on receipt thereof to grant receipt or other sufficient discharges for the same, to withdraw, compromise or refer to arbitration the said suit, to appoint one or more substitutes and to act and perform all other matters and things relating thereto or connected therewith, to represent me in matters relating to the same and to act as fully and effectually to all intents and purposes as I might do if personally present and did the same. And I do hereby agree to pay all fees, costs, charges and expenses which may be incurred and to allow, ratify, and confirm whatsoever the said ADVOCATE shall lawfully do or cause or procure to be done by the virtue of these presents.

In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand this 7th day of Nov 1927.

ACCEPTED

T S Ramaswamy Iyer

Advocate for Petr

9/11/27

Signed before me by the executant who is known to me.

Sri Venkatesa Press, Bangalore City.

Letter No.754/Muz.[illegible] dated 12th November 1927 from the Financial Secretary to the Government of Mysore to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

I am directed to forward herewith for report with reference petition of Archak Nanjapra Deekshit of Tinpasandra, Magadi appealing against your order No.D.Dis.59 of 1927-28 dated 24th September 1927 in the matter of the lease of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Kasiveswara and three other temples at Tinpasandra and to request that your order re auctioning the lease of the Inam lands may be stayed pending disposal by Government of the appeal petition as the petitioner states in para 3 of the petition that he has paid the annual deposits according to the Mutchalika.

Muzrai Commissioner Office,
Bangalore, 17/18th November 1927

No.C.644/27-28
Enc.one file.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, for favour of report with records and for the stay of the sale proceedings.

Sd/- Chennarai Urs,
For Muzrai Commissioner.

5197/27-28

No.C-3-3035/27-28
Enc.one file.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore, 26th November 1927.

Copy of the above together with its enclosures in original is forwarded to the Sub-Divisior Officer, Closepet, for early submissio

of report with records. The sale proceeds
further orders.

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

29/11/27

C3-2085
27-28

C_3-572/28-27/ Qu. 2 files & applications Memo

Submitted to the deputy commissioner Bangalore District, Bangalore with reference to his no C_3-3035/27-28 of 26th November 1927

Head Asst. Sub. Office
Clerk
2 16/1/28

3223

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
JAN 19 1928
C3
BANGALORE DIST.

21/1

RE[illegible] SECRETARI[illegible]

21

SUBJECT.. ....

20th

Section Mer

File No. 120-27

Seria No. 2

No. 754

Enclosure

Diary No. of letter replied to.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mer)

the *192*

To The Munsif Commissioner Mysore, Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith, for report with record, the petition of archak Ranjeff of Tippasandra Magadi Taluk, appealing against your order No. D. Dis 57 of 27-28 & 24-9-27 in the matter of the lease of the Inam lands endowed to Sri Kasivisweswara & 3 other temples at Tippasandra, and to request that your order re auctioning the lease of the Inam lands may be stayed, pending disposal of the appeal.

Date of issue... 12/11/27

Signed by... Mr. M. V. Iyengar

List of enclosures .. ..

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

over

WD 0562—GPE—31,000—17-8-25

by Govt. in the appeal petition, as the petr states in para 3 of the petn that he has paid the annual deposits according to the Mutchalika.

I have etc

Sd/ 12/11/27
Secretary
Jr. Deptt
11.11.27

Sd 11/11/27

No 7555 Dy 12/11/27

Endorsement to petn

With reference to his ~~appeal~~ petn dated 27.1.27 re the lease of inam lands endowed to Sri Nanjundeswara & other temples at Nidhasandra Mazra Taluk, Mutchalik Ranjappa Vecklalit of Nidhasandra informed that a report ~~with records~~ has been called for from the Mag. Court who has also been requested to stay execution of his order, pending disposal of ~~this~~ appeal by Govt. ~~after receipt of records in the case from the Mag. Court.~~

appealing against the order of the Mag. Court in O.S. No. 59 of 24 9-27-25

By Order

Sd/ 12/11
Secretary
Jr. Deptt
11-11-27


COURT FEE
ONE RUPEE


MUZRAI
0769

ਸਾਰਣੀ 1 ਤੋਂ 35 ਦੇ ਅੰਕੜਿਆਂ ਦੇ ਆਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਇਹ ਸਪੱਸ਼ਟ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਦੇ ਕੁੱਲ ਘਰੇਲੂ ਉਤਪਾਦ ਵਿੱਚ ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਦਾ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਲਗਾਤਾਰ ਘਟ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਦਯੋਗ ਅਤੇ ਸੇਵਾਵਾਂ ਦਾ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਵਧ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦਾ ਮੁੱਖ ਕਾਰਨ ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਨਿਵੇਸ਼ ਦੀ ਘਾਟ ਅਤੇ ਉਦਯੋਗ ਅਤੇ ਸੇਵਾਵਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਨਿਵੇਸ਼ ਦੀ ਵਧੇਰੇ ਸੰਭਾਵਨਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ, ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਰੁਜ਼ਗਾਰ ਦੇ ਮੌਕੇ ਵੀ ਘਟ ਰਹੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਉਦਯੋਗ ਅਤੇ ਸੇਵਾਵਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਰੁਜ਼ਗਾਰ ਦੇ ਮੌਕੇ ਵਧ ਰਹੇ ਹਨ। ਇਸ ਕਾਰਨ ਪੇਂਡੂ ਖੇਤਰਾਂ ਤੋਂ ਸ਼ਹਿਰੀ ਖੇਤਰਾਂ ਵੱਲ ਪਰਵਾਸ ਵਧ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਰਣੀ 3 ਤੋਂ 5 ਦੇ ਅੰਕੜਿਆਂ ਦੇ ਆਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਇਹ ਸਪੱਸ਼ਟ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਦੇ ਕੁੱਲ ਘਰੇਲੂ ਉਤਪਾਦ ਵਿੱਚ ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਦਾ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਲਗਾਤਾਰ ਘਟ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਦਯੋਗ ਅਤੇ ਸੇਵਾਵਾਂ ਦਾ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਵਧ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦਾ ਮੁੱਖ ਕਾਰਨ ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਨਿਵੇਸ਼ ਦੀ ਘਾਟ ਅਤੇ ਉਦਯੋਗ ਅਤੇ ਸੇਵਾਵਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਨਿਵੇਸ਼ ਦੀ ਵਧੇਰੇ ਸੰਭਾਵਨਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ, ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਰੁਜ਼ਗਾਰ ਦੇ ਮੌਕੇ ਵੀ ਘਟ ਰਹੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਉਦਯੋਗ ਅਤੇ ਸੇਵਾਵਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਰੁਜ਼ਗਾਰ ਦੇ ਮੌਕੇ ਵਧ ਰਹੇ ਹਨ। ਇਸ ਕਾਰਨ ਪੇਂਡੂ ਖੇਤਰਾਂ ਤੋਂ ਸ਼ਹਿਰੀ ਖੇਤਰਾਂ ਵੱਲ ਪਰਵਾਸ ਵਧ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਰਣੀ 4 ਤੋਂ 5 ਦੇ ਅੰਕੜਿਆਂ ਦੇ ਆਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਇਹ ਸਪੱਸ਼ਟ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਦੇ ਕੁੱਲ ਘਰੇਲੂ ਉਤਪਾਦ ਵਿੱਚ ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਦਾ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਲਗਾਤਾਰ ਘਟ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਦਯੋਗ ਅਤੇ ਸੇਵਾਵਾਂ ਦਾ ਹਿੱਸਾ ਵਧ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦਾ ਮੁੱਖ ਕਾਰਨ ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਨਿਵੇਸ਼ ਦੀ ਘਾਟ ਅਤੇ ਉਦਯੋਗ ਅਤੇ ਸੇਵਾਵਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਨਿਵੇਸ਼ ਦੀ ਵਧੇਰੇ ਸੰਭਾਵਨਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ, ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਰੁਜ਼ਗਾਰ ਦੇ ਮੌਕੇ ਵੀ ਘਟ ਰਹੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਉਦਯੋਗ ਅਤੇ ਸੇਵਾਵਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਰੁਜ਼ਗਾਰ ਦੇ ਮੌਕੇ ਵਧ ਰਹੇ ਹਨ। ਇਸ ਕਾਰਨ ਪੇਂਡੂ ਖੇਤਰਾਂ ਤੋਂ ਸ਼ਹਿਰੀ ਖੇਤਰਾਂ ਵੱਲ ਪਰਵਾਸ ਵਧ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ।

5 15 ಅಂತಿಮವಾಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು

6 ಅಧಿಕೃತವಾಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಪತ್ರಗಳೆಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು

7 ಅಧಿಕೃತವಾಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಪತ್ರಗಳೆಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು

8 ಅಂತಿಮವಾಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಪತ್ರಗಳೆಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು

2 ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 1100 ಅಂತಿಮವಾಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಪತ್ರಗಳೆಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು

9 ದಿನಾಂಕ 25-11-27 ರಂದು ನಡೆದ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಪತ್ರಗಳೆಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು

10 ಅಂತಿಮವಾಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಪತ್ರಗಳೆಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ವಾಣಿಜ್ಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೈವಾಇ ೨೦೨೧

To

The Second Councillor

i n M y s o r e,

B A N G A L O R E.

****

From

The Dharmadarsees and Villagers

of the Kasi Visveswara and Other

Three Temples of the Chippasandra

Village, Magadi Taluk:==

Most Respected Sir,

We beg to bring the following few lines for your kind consideration and orders:--

I. There are 4 Temples in this Village (Chippasandra) for which there was one Archaka named Nanjappa to do the Pooja for the above Temples; and for the above duty,- Government have given Inam Lands worth about Rs.1,000/; income per year.

II. After the said Nanjappa died, his heirs and some others are doing the Pooja, and were getting the profit in the said Inam lands.

III. After the said Archaka Nanjappa's daughter - was married to one present Archak Nanjappa, who is now doing Puja in the said Village, is now enjoying the - lands.

IV. After he took charge, he was not doing Pooja at times and also he used to always be going to court business and other places. For these irregularities, the people of the Village submitted several petitions to Government, asking to remove the said Poojari, Nanjappa.

V. After the enquiry made by the concerned -- Officers, they viewed that the Poojari was not doing the Pooja properly.

VI. On account of not doing the Pooja by the present Poojari 2 times "ಕಂಪ್ಲೇಂಟ್" have also been made, after collecting the cost by the said Poojari, and one Mutchalika also taken from the Poojari by the Taluk - Amildar, stating that he hereafter doing Puja etc. If he does not do so, he may be removed from the service.

VII. As per the Pujari's Mutchalika, he did not do the duties properly, for which complaints were made by the village people to Government.

VIII. Again enquiries were made and got from Amildars and Revenue Sub Division Officer warned him many times. At last, the Government has fixed to Poojari to give - Rs.50/- reserve fund annually; and again complaints - have gone to Government stating earnings of the Inam - lands is more than Rs.1000/- per year; so Government may auction the lands and fix the salary of the Poojari in - making two scales, i.e., each Poojari must work in two - females, so that the Pooja will go right. As per the above petition, the Deputy Commissioner has sanctioned the said petition and ordered the Inam lands must be auctioned and also send the Scale Patty.

IX. As per the above Order, the Inam lands were sold for Gutta Rs.515/- excluding Jodi Rs.36/- per year. The whole records have been sent from the Amildar for sanction, and the sales have been confirmed and also two Scales. The above Gutta amount of Rs.515/- has been recovered by the Taluk Amildar.

X. After this the said Pujari Manjappa has send an appeal Petition stating that the Scales be cancelled, he being the heriditary Poojari in the affair.

XI. The appeal was dismissed by the Huzarat Com-

Commissioner ordering that the Sales of the Inam Lands could not be cancelled.

XII. Upon this, the said Pujari Nanjappa seems to have appealed to Government, stating the sales of the Inam lands should be given [illegible].

[illegible] the stay order. [illegible] the Stay Order, the sale amount could be recovered, i.e. Rs. [illegible]/- may be.

XI. In these circumstances, the Revenue [illegible] by the Reserve Bank, Rs. [illegible]/- since 2 years, [illegible] not pay the Kandaya of this year.

XV. On 25-11-[illegible], the Revenue Inspector [illegible] to the villagers in the matter of [illegible] temples. [illegible] Inam lands [illegible] not to cause sales.

[illegible]

[illegible]



In the Court of the

Before the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mys

Mys. HH CASE NO. 10 OF 1927—1928

Appellant, Plaintiff. *Respondent, Defendant.*

Nagappa Dikshitar *VS.* Govindappa & Others

1 ... I ... ...the ... ... Mysore Court.....above named do by these presents retain Mr. C. NAGAPPA, B.A., LL. B, *Advocate,* to appear in and conduct the above case on...my...behalf to judgment.

2 ... I .....further empower him to execute any decree or order that may be passed in..... I .....behalf and to receive from court all documents, refunds and such sums of money as .....I.....may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor.

3. .....I.....also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts done by him in so doing.

ನಿಕ್ಷಿತ್ತಾಯ ಸುಬ್ಬರಾಯಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಗಳವರ ಕೈಲಿ

ಸಹಿ

BANGALORE

... 6.—3.— ... 28..192 .

Signed before me and personally known to me.

Accepted

C. Nagappa

Advocates for the Minor

From

CHANCERY OFFICE
7 Feb. 28
MUZ.
1025

OIAL SEC ETARY
6 FEB. 1928
No. Ic

[illegible]

[illegible] Secretary to the Government of [illegible]
[illegible] Department,

[illegible]

Sir,

[illegible] No. 70/Mut.100-1/8 [illegible] with Number 1027 [illegible] from a desk [illegible] and three other [illegible] with [illegible] and the [illegible] file of [illegible] dated [illegible].

This office file D [illegible] 1927, [illegible] facts [illegible]

This [illegible] letter is [illegible] returned.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 10 of 1927-8.

Appellant. *Vs.* Respondent.

Nanjappa Dikshit,
Archa 12

Sambaj Gundappa
& others.

Subject.

Appeal against the an
g the H.M.C. directing the
Sale by auction of the
lease of the inam lands
endowed to Sri Ba
Vishwanatha Swamy and
other temples in Hippa-
Gundra, Nagadi Taluk

Decision

The case was
heard on 30th March 19
The appt was represented by Mr. B. R. Nagesha

GPL—1,000—5-5-11

Nagappa

The records show

copy para 24 containing

The members under A

Memo 1847

May

Copy to The Hon

with the record received

with his letter no. D Dis - 1/3 4.8.

dt 4 — 2 — 1948.

B.O.

11/4

Mail ready

Copies to the

Advocate for the

applt & the respts

B

11/4

Mail ready.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT

G O No. 1847—Muz. 120-27-5, dated 16th April 1928.

Sri Kasi Visweswaraswamy and other temples.

Directs that the Archak of the temple of —— in Tippasandra, Magadi Taluk, may be allowed to continue in possession of the inam lands on stipulated conditions subject to the payment of an annual reserve of Rs. 100.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

MUZRAI APPEAL NO. 10 OF 1927-28.

Appellant.	*Respondents.*
1 Dikshit, Archak.	Shanbhog Gundappa and others.

SUBJECT.

Appeal against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner, directing the sale by auction of the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Kasi Visveswaraswamy and 3 other temples in Tippasandra, Magadi Taluk.

DECISION.

The case was heard on 31st March 1928.

The appellant was represented by Mr. A. R. Nageswaraiyer, and the respondents by Mr. C. Nagappa.

ORDER.

The records show that there is considerable difference of opinion as to whether the appellant has properly performed the duties of the archak of these temples, one set of petitioners speaking strongly in his favour and another against him. This position is obviously the result of the prevalence of factious spirit in the village which has prevented the real facts of the case being brought out. This is a minor Muzrai Institution in the enjoyment of an income of about Rs. 500 per annum from its inam lands, as shown by the bid received when it was proposed to lease them out. There are four temples reported to be situated more or less close to each other which require to be taken care of and in which worship has to be performed and Paditara, etc., provided. It is stated that some of the temples are in a state of disrepair and a sum of at least Rs. 100 out of the annual income should be held as a reserve for keeping the buildings in proper condition. The balance left after meeting these demands is not more than what may be regarded as a reasonable remuneration for the Archak of the four temples. In view of these considerations, it is not expedient to disturb the possession of the Archak. The order of the Muzrai Commissioner will be modified accordingly. The lease will be cancelled and the amount deposited by the auction purchaser refunded to him. If he has not had any benefit from the lands, interest at 9 per cent per annum on the amount deposited by him to be contributed by the Archak will be paid to him. The Archak should also be required to pay Rs. 100 annually as a reserve for repairs and for occasional demands to be provided for to keep the temples in proper condition.

Memo No. 1847—MUZ. 120-27-5, DATED 16TH APRIL 1928.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with the records received with his letter No. D. Dis. 59 of 27-28, dated 4th February 1928.

By Order,

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR,

Secretary to Government,

Financial Department